Continuous near-surface monitoring with ambient noise collected by distributed acoustic sensing

Eileen Martin Stanford University, ICME Advisor: Biondo Biondi, Geophysics/ICME







Seasonal variations in permafrost are getting more extreme.

This weakens infrastructure built on permafrost zones.

We're developing a low-cost system to frequently monitor structural stability of the ground under critical infrastructure.





Map from Alaska Public Lands Information



How a typical seismic survey works



Time for vibrations to reach sensors throughout the array indicates wave speeds in different areas.





Developing Smart Infrastructure for a Changing Arctic Environment Using Distributed Fiber-Optic Sensing Methods PI: Jonathan Ajo-Franklin, LBNL Co-PI: Anna Wagner, CRREL







Goal: low-cost frequent monitoring of the near surface Method: passive seismic collected by fiber optics with low-cost per sensor











Jonathan Ajo-Franklin, LBNL

Tom Daley, LBNL

Barry Freifeld, LBNL

Michelle Robertson, LBNL













Nate Lindsey, UC Berkeley, LBNL

Shan Dou, LBNL





Anna Wagner Kevin Bjella

US Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research & Engineering Lab

How a passive seismic survey works



correlations between windows of noise

Example from Jason Chang (Stanford), data c/o Nodal Seismic







What is distributed acoustic sensing (DAS)?



DAS repurposes a standard fiber optic cable probed by a laser as a seismic sensor. Backscattered light is used to calculate strain rates along the fiber.

Benefits:

- Low cost per sensor Low energy required
- Flexible
- 10s of km covered with single laser

Downsides:

- Lower signal/noise ratio
- Lower sensitivity to waves at angles
- Large amplitude noise from phase control issues





Pilot: Richmond Field Station, Dec. '14

free vibration sources ambient noise

IOW-COSt permanent sensors distributed acoustic sensing

low-cost large-scale frequent monitoring of ground stability under infrastructure on permafrost



Pilot: Richmond Field Station, Dec. '14

San Francisco Bay







Data recorded with iDAS





Raw data & cross-correlation processing













Typical workflow is $O(n^2)$...





raw data n sensors

n virtual source response estimates via n² cross-correlations



input to dispersion domain surface wave inversion*

n dispersion images with energy at set of (frq,vel) combinations from each virtual source response estimate

*Dou, S. and J. Ajo-Franklin, 2014, Geophysics, 79.





...or we can get dispersion images in O(n) serial time with an embarrassingly parallel algorithm:

dispersion image for virtual source s, velocity v, frequency omega

$$\begin{split} I_{s}(\mathbf{v},\omega) &= \mathcal{F}_{t}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{n} u_{s}\left(\mathbf{x}_{r},t+(1/v_{x},1/v_{y})\cdot(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s})\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{F}_{t}\left[u_{s}\left(\mathbf{x}_{r},t+(1/v_{x},1/v_{y})\cdot(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s})\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{F}_{t}u_{s}(\mathbf{x}_{r},\omega)e^{2\pi i(1/v_{x},1/v_{y})\cdot(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s})\omega} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{d}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{s},\omega)\hat{d}(\mathbf{x}_{r},\omega)e^{2\pi i(1/v_{x},1/v_{y})\cdot(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s})\omega} \\ &= \hat{d}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{s},\omega)e^{-2\pi i(1/v_{x},1/v_{y})\cdot\mathbf{x}_{s}}\sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{d}(\mathbf{x}_{r},\omega)e^{2\pi i\omega(1/v_{x},1/v_{y})} \\ &= \hat{d}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{s},\omega)e^{-2\pi i(1/v_{x},1/v_{y})\cdot\mathbf{x}_{s}}\sigma(\mathbf{v},\omega) \end{split}$$

factor common to all dispersion images



12

 $\cdot \mathbf{x}_r$

Scaling up: Fairbanks, AK, Aug. '15

low-cost larger-scale frequent monitoring of ground stability under infrastructure on permafrost

free vibration sources ambient noise

IOW-COSt permanent sensors distributed acoustic sensing







Cross-correlations















Time records



Bumps were probably the cause of artifacts in cross-correlations

Cross-correlation Virtual Source Ch 300







Cross-coherence No filtering applied









Dispersion image

stack of cross-coherence











low-cost large-scale frequent monitoring of ground stability under infrastructure on permafrost

Controlled thaw: Fairbanks, AK, now

free vibration sources ambient noise

low-cost permanent sensors distributed acoustic sensing





Conclusions



Despite low signal-to-noise-ratio and directional sensitivity issues, DAS is a feasible option for further lowering the cost of ambient seismic noise studies.

Such studies require huge amounts of data to be handled in a streaming context, so we have developed new algorithms suitable to these data.

We continue to develop methods for automatic detection and removal of the effects of non-ideal noise sources.





- Field experiments and some authors supported by US Dept of Defense, SERDP grant RC-2437
- Work at LBNL funded by US Dept of Energy contract DE-AC02-05CH11231
- I'm supported by US Dept of Energy grant DE-FG02-97ER25308



Acknowledgements

- Staff at CRREL for field work support
- Thanks to Stanford/SEP colleagues for useful discussions, especially Jason Chang, Nori Nakata, Sjoerd de Ridder, Biondo Biondi, Bob Clapp and Stewart Levin





For more details...



Richmond deployment: JAF, NL, TD, BF, EM, MR, CU, AW, A field test of distributed acoustic sensing for ambient noise recording, SEG extended abstracts 2015. **DAS interferometry at Richmond:** EM, JAF, SD, NL, TD, BF, MR, AW, CU, Interferometry of ambient noise from a trenched distributed acoustic sensing array, SEG extended abstracts 2015. Fast dispersion images: E. Martin, Fast dispersion curves from ambient noise, SEP report 158, 2015. (paper in preparation, report available) **DAS interferometry and noise at Fairbanks:** EM, NL, SD, JAF, AW, KB, TD, BF, MR, CU, Interferometry of a roadside DAS array in Fairbanks, AK, SEG extended abstract 2016 (to appear).





