Exceptional service in the national interest







Experimental Verification of the Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion (MagLIF) Concept

M. R. Gomez, S. A. Slutz, A. B. Sefkow, T. J. Awe, G. A. Chandler, M. E. Cuneo, M. Geissel,
K. D. Hahn, S. B. Hansen, E. C. Harding, A. J. Harvey-Thompson, M. C. Herrmann, C. A. Jennings,
P. F. Knapp, D. C. Lamppa, M. R. Martin, R. D. McBride, K. J. Peterson, J. L. Porter, G. A. Rochau,
D. C. Rovang, C. L. Ruiz, P. F. Schmit, D. B. Sinars, and I. C. Smith



Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. SAND NO. 2011-XXXXP

First integrated MagLIF experiments successfully demonstrated the concept



 Fusion-relevant stagnation temperatures

2 -

3

4

6

7 -

0.5

Transverse Position Imm

Axial Position [mm]

- Stable pinch with narrow emission column at stagnation
- Successful flux compression





Sandia National

Outline



- Summary of experimental results
- Define Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion (MagLIF)
- Experimental setup
- Details of integrated MagLIF experimental results
 - Fusion yields and temperatures
 - Stagnation measurements
 - Bangtime (x-ray and neutron)
 - X-ray imaging
 - Evidence of magnetic flux compression
 - DT/DD yield ratio
 - NTOF spectra
 - Comparison with simulations

The future

Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion is a Magneto-Inertial Fusion concept that



we are evaluating on Z



Magnetization and laser heating relax the implosion velocity, areal density, and convergence requirements of inertial confinement fusion

Stage 1: Magnetization



- Be liner containing fusion fuel
 - D2 gas ~ mg/cc (n_e/n_{crit} < 0.1)</p>
- Axial magnetic field is applied to target
 - 10-30 T
 - ~ ms risetime
- Z current starts creating an azimuthal drive field



Stage 2: Laser Heating





- Liner begins to compress
 - OD is moving but ID is stationary
- Laser heats the fuel
 - T_e ~ 100s of eV
- Liner ID begins to implode
- Fuel conditions isotropize over the 10s of ns of the implosion

Stage 3: Compression





- Axial magnetic field insulates fuel from liner throughout implosion
 - Field increases substantially through magnetic flux compression
 - Near adiabatic compression
- Fuel is heated though PdV work to keV temperatures
- Liner stagnates
 - Plasma pressure exceeds drive pressure

Putting it all together...





Outline



- Summary of experimental results
- Define Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion (MagLIF)
- Experimental setup
- Details of integrated MagLIF experimental results
 - Fusion yields and temperatures
 - Stagnation measurements
 - Bangtime (x-ray and neutron)
 - X-ray imaging
 - Evidence of magnetic flux compression
 - DT/DD yield ratio
 - NTOF spectra
 - Comparison with simulations

The future

Prior to the integrated experiments, a series of focused experiments were conducted to test all of the critical components of MagLIF



- Laser preheat >20 laser-only experiments **Applied magnetic field** >10 experiments **Liner Stability** >30 experiments Modified power flow Geometry scan to minimize losses >20 experiments Fully integrated shots
 - 5 Z + ZBL shots

The target design for these initial experiments incorporates the knowledge gained from focused experiments and extensive simulations

- Beryllium liner with aspect ratio 6
 - Thick liner is more robust to instabilities
 - Still allows diagnostic access > 5 keV
- Top and bottom implosion cushions
 - Mitigates wall instability
- Standoff between LEH and imploding region
 - Avoid window material mixing with fuel
- Exit hole at bottom of target
 - Avoid interaction with bottom of target



Cathode



Initial experiments were conducted at I = 19 MA, B = 10 T, and Laser = 2.5 kJ



Sandia National

Outline



- Summary of experimental results
- Define Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion (MagLIF)
- Experimental setup
- Details of integrated MagLIF experimental results
 - Fusion yields and temperatures
 - Stagnation measurements
 - Bangtime (x-ray and neutron)
 - X-ray imaging
 - Evidence of magnetic flux compression
 - DT/DD yield ratio
 - NTOF spectra
 - Comparison with simulations

The future

Thermonuclear DD yields in excess of 10¹² were observed in experiments with laser and B-field



- High yields were only observed on experiments incorporating both applied magnetic field and laser heating
 - A series of experiments without laser and/or B-field produced yields at the background level of the measurement
- Result of z2583 is not well understood nor reproduced at this time

Neutron Time of Flight spectra indicate ion temperatures greater than 2 keV at stagnation



- DD neutron peak observed in experiments with significant yield (>1e10)
- Gaussian profile fit to high energy side of peak to determine ion temp
- Ion temperatures were between 2 and 2.5 keV for high yield experiments

High energy x-ray spectra indicate experiments with laser and B-field



electron temperatures = 2.9-3.5 keV in



- **Electron temperatures** inferred from continuum emission
- > 2 keV observed on shots with yield
- **Approximately 1 keV** observed on shots without yield
- Lower bound on measurement capability is around 1 keV

Neutron yield, ion temperature, and electron temperature all trend as expected



- Experiments with
 T_{electron} ≈ 1 keV have
 negligible DD yield
 - For T_i ≈ T_e > 2 keV, significant yield is observed
- Measurable DT yield is observed on experiments with high DD yield (more on this later)

Narrow (<2 ns FWHM) peak on PCD and Si Diode signals is consistent with NTOF bang time estimate



- Narrow x-ray signature only observed on experiments with significant neutron yield
- X-ray burst has high energy components
- X-ray bang time and NTOF bang time agree within the uncertainty of the measurements

Time-resolved x-ray pinhole imaging (hv > 2.8 keV) shows a narrow emission column during peak in X-ray signal



- Emission column is observed only during the peak in the x-ray signal
- Emission column is only observed on experiments with high neutron yield
- Stagnation column width is at the resolution limit of the instrument (~150 microns)

High energy x-ray signal and narrow emission region are absent in null experiments



- Liner emission is observed in all experiments
- Liner emission
 is at a lower
 photon energy
 (< 2.8 keV)</p>
- Liner emission is getting larger at late times



A new self-emission diagnostic was developed, which helps diagnose the stagnation column with high resolution



- Bent crystal imager, similar to backlighter system, but in self emission with a Ge 220 crystal
- Imaging continuum emission from stagnation column
- Given the instrument response and the liner opacity, the signal should primarily consist of 6 and 9 keV photons

- High resolution images of the x-ray emission from the hottest part of the fuel show a relatively stable stagnation column of the stagnation column
- Lineouts of stagnation column vary from 60 to 120 µm FWHM (resolution is about 60 microns)
- Emission is observed from about 6 mm of the 7.5 mm axial extent
- Emission region does not define the fuel-liner boundary, but defines the hottest region of the fuel
- Stagnation column is weakly helical with 1.3 mm wavelength and 0.05 mm offset



Measured and inferred stagnation parameters are consistent with the measured DD yield

- Hot fuel: r = 40-50 μm, h = 4-6 mm
 - V ≈ 2.0-4.7 x 10⁻⁵ cm³
- τ ≈ 1-2 ns
- Stagnation density = 0.2-0.6 g/cm³
 - n ≈ 0.66-2.0 x 10²³/cm³
- Stagnation temperature = 2-3.5 keV
 - <σv> ≈ 0.5-4.4 x 10⁻²⁰
- $f = 0.5n^2 < \sigma v > \approx 1.1 88 \times 10^{25} / cm^3 s$
- Calculated Yield = τVf ≈ 2e11-8e13 DD neutrons
- Measured yield = 5e11-2e12 DD neutrons



Yield_{DT}/Yield_{DD} and NTOF spectra indicate significant magnetic flux compression



Sandia National Laboratories

Laser heating was not optimized for these experiments

10¹⁴

10¹³

z2591

- Offline laser transmission measurements indicate that the majority of the laser energy does not make it through the foil
- Simulations show the efficiency of laser-energy coupling in these targets is a critical factor
- Recent laser transmission experiments with smoothed beam show significantly improved foil transmission



Simulation

Experiments will be conducted in near future to test improvements in laser coupling with smoothed beams



Experimental observables are well matched by 2D simulations



Parameter	Experimental	Simulation
Current	19 ± 1.5 MA	19 MA
Implosion time	90 ± 1 ns	90 ns
Energy absorbed in gas	Less than 600 J	150 ± 50 J
R _{stag} hot plasma	44 ± 13 μm	40 µm
T _{ion} , T _{elec}	2.0-2.5 keV, 2.8-3.5 keV	3.0 ± 0.5 keV, 2.7 ± 0.5 keV
Density _{stag}	$0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ g/cm}^3$	$0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ g/cm}^3$
ρR _{liner}	$0.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ g/cm}^2$	0.9 g/cm ²
B*r _{stag}	40-110 T-cm	48 T-cm
DD yield	$2.0 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{12}$	$4.4 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{12}$ (no Nernst term)
DD/DT yield ratio	40 ± 20	41-57
DD, DT spectra	Isotropic, asymmetric	Isotropic, asymmetric
Burn duration	1.5-2.3 ns (x-rays)	1.6 ± 0.2 ns (neutrons)

Outline



- Summary of experimental results
- Define Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion (MagLIF)
- Experimental setup
- Details of integrated MagLIF experimental results
 - Fusion yields and temperatures
 - Stagnation measurements
 - Bangtime (x-ray and neutron)
 - X-ray imaging
 - Evidence of magnetic flux compression
 - DT/DD yield ratio
 - NTOF spectra
 - Comparison with simulations

The future

Significant upgrades to key components of MagLIF are planned for the near future to test our understanding



- Laser energy upgrade in progress
 - 4 kJ now available
 - 6+ kJ is expected in early 2015
- Laser beam smoothing is under investigation
- Magnetic field upgrade available
 - 15 T is now available
 - Up to 25 T is expected in early 2015
 - 30+ T is possible by the end of 2015
- > 20 MA drive current expected by early 2015
 - Up to 25 MA may be possible by the end of 2015

First integrated MagLIF experiments successfully demonstrated the concept



- Thermonuclear neutron generation up to 2e12 DD
- Fusion-relevant stagnation temperatures

2 -

3

4

6

7 -

0.5

Transverse Position Imm

Axial Position [mm]

- Stable pinch with narrow emission column at stagnation
- Successful flux compression







dN/dE