

# *E Pluribus Duo*

Jeff Hammond

Mazziotti Group  
Department of Chemistry  
The University of Chicago

DOE-CSGF Conference  
June 18, 2007  
Washington, D. C.



# Abstract

The quantum many-body problem can be reformulated in terms of two particles, avoiding the exponential complexity of  $N$ -particle wavefunctions. However, the injection from  $N$  particles to two particles introduces a new form of complexity known as the  $N$ -representability problem. I will discuss the theoretical formulation of reduced-density-matrix mechanics, specifically the variational approach, as well as the computational method for solving the resulting equations. The computational methods range from the primal-dual method for semidefinite programming to a nonlinear optimization approach which is amenable to problems with millions of constraints and unknowns. Preliminary adventures into parallel algorithms may be discussed.

# The life cycle of energy

## Energy creation

- nuclear reactions
- combustion
- solar cells

## Energy transport

- superconductors
- molecular electronics
- nanoelectronics

## Energy consumption

- computer chips

# Solution to energy problems

## Electrons are quantum mechanical

Solve Schrödinger equation and be done. (Isn't everything just a PDE waiting to be solved?)

## Sources of angst

Electrons interact repulsively

- Electrons interact repulsively with other electrons
- Electrons interact attractively with protons

Quantum mechanics is nasty

- Uncertainty problem
- Interchange symmetry
- Probabilistic measurements

# Solution to energy problems

## Electrons are quantum mechanical

Solve Schödinger equation and be done. (Isn't everything just a PDE waiting to be solved?)

## Sources of angst

Electrons interact repulsively

- Electrons interact repulsively with other electrons
- Electrons interact attractively with protons

Quantum mechanics is nasty

- Uncertainty problem
- Interchange symmetry
- Probabilistic measurements

# The Hamiltonian

## Undergraduate version

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + \frac{Z}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_{12}}$$

## Graduate version

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i,j}^r {}^1T_j^i a_i^\dagger a_j + \sum_{i,j,k,l}^r {}^2V_{kl}^{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_l a_k$$

$${}^1T_j^i = \langle \phi_i(1) | -\frac{\nabla_1^2}{2} + \frac{Z}{r_1} | \phi_j(1) \rangle$$

$${}^2V_{kl}^{ij} = \langle \phi_i(1) \phi_j(2) | \frac{1}{r_{12}} | \phi_k(1) \phi_l(2) \rangle$$

# The Schrödinger equation

## The theory of everything

$$\hat{H}|\Psi_n\rangle = E_n|\Psi_n\rangle$$

## Contraction

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} {}^1T_j^i a_i^\dagger a_j + \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}^2V_{kl}^{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_l a_k = \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}^2K_{kl}^{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_l a_k$$

$$E_0 = \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} | \Psi_0 \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}^2K_{kl}^{ij} \langle \Psi_0 | a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_l a_k | \Psi_0 \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l} {}^2K_{kl}^{ij} D_{kl}^{ij}$$

# Reduced-density-matrix method

## Twenty years after Schrödinger...

$$E = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{ijkl} {}^2K_{kl}^{ij} \langle \Psi | a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_l a_k | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{ijkl} {}^2K_{kl}^{ij} {}^2D_{kl}^{ij}$$

The fundamental quantity required for evaluating quantum mechanical properties is the distribution of electron pairs, not the wavefunction.

## Obvious question

Can we solve the Schrödinger equation using only the pair distribution function  ${}^2D_{kl}^{ij}$ ?

# Reduced-density-matrix method

## Twenty years after Schrödinger...

$$E = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{ijkl} {}^2K_{kl}^{ij} \langle \Psi | a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_l a_k | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{ijkl} {}^2K_{kl}^{ij} {}^2D_{kl}^{ij}$$

The fundamental quantity required for evaluating quantum mechanical properties is the distribution of electron pairs, not the wavefunction.

## Obvious question

Can we solve the Schrödinger equation using only the pair distribution function  ${}^2D_{kl}^{ij}$ ?

# The $N$ -representability problem

## Variational hypothesis

If the energy is only a functional of the PDF, can we replace the variational minimization over wavefunctions with one over all PDFs?

## Answer

$$E \equiv \min_{\Psi} \sum_{ijkl}^2 K_{kl}^{ij2} D_{kl}^{ij} [\Psi] \neq \min_{^2D} \sum_{ijkl}^2 K_{kl}^{ij2} D_{kl}^{ij}$$

Antisymmetry requires us to consider the wavefunction at all times

# Derivations

## Variational formulation

Proper minimization requires constraints on the variational space to ensure the electrons behave physically.

## Magic

Play Yanni music, wave hands, etc.

## Result

Variables are positive semidefinite matrices and the constraints are linear in the matrix elements thereof.

# Constrained variational problem

## Fundamental variables

Small matrices -  ${}^1D, {}^1Q$   
 Big matrices -  ${}^2D, {}^2Q, {}^2G$

## Constraints

$${}^2D \geq 0$$

$${}^2Q \geq 0$$

$${}^2G \geq 0$$

$${}^2Q_{k,l}^{i,j} = {}^2D_{k,l}^{i,j} - {}^1D_l^i \wedge {}^1D_k^j$$

$${}^2G_{l,j}^{i,k} = {}^1D_l^i \delta_k^j - {}^2D_{k,l}^{i,j}$$

$$\sum_k {}^2D_{j,k}^{i,k} = (N-1) {}^1D_j^i$$

$$\sum_k {}^1D_k^k = N$$

# Semidefinite programming

## Interior-point method (Nesterov and Nemirovsky, 1988)

- Very robust convergence
- Fast algorithms when they fit in memory
- Scales terribly
- Cannot handle structured problems

## Nonlinear factorized method (Burer, Monteiro and Zhang, 1999)

- Semidefiniteness enforced implicitly through factorization:  
 $P = MM^T \geq 0$  for  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
- Nonlinear equations not fun to solve
- Very efficient and handles structure easily

# Semidefinite programming in chemistry

## Primal-dual method

- Independently done by Nakatsuji and coworkers and Mazziotti in 2001
- Toy systems using SeDuMi in Matlab
- $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$  variables/constraints

## Nonlinear factorized method

- In 2004, Mazziotti implemented Burer's method for molecular calculations
- Significant tuning and optimization for 100-fold performance increase
- $\mathcal{O}(10^7)$  variables/constraints

# Constrained minimization

## Primal formulation

$$\min_X \{ C \bullet X \text{ s.t. } A_i \bullet X = b_i, i = 1, \dots, m, X \geq 0 \},$$

## Factorized formulation

$$\min_L \left\{ C \bullet (LL^T) \text{ s.t. } A_i \bullet (LL^T) = b_i, i = 1, \dots, m \right\},$$

## Augmented Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = C \bullet (LL^T) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (A_i \bullet (LL^T) - b_i) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m |A_i \bullet (LL^T) - b_i|^2$$

# First-order minimization

## Function

$$f = \mathcal{L} = C \bullet (LL^T) + \sum_i^m \lambda_i (A_i \bullet (LL^T) - b_i) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \sum_i^m |A_i \bullet (LL^T) - b_i|^2$$

## Gradient

$$G = \nabla \mathcal{L} = 2 CL + 2 \sum_i^m \lambda_i (A_i L) + 2 \sigma \sum_i^m (A_i \bullet (LL^T) - b_i) (A_i L)$$

From: S. Burer and R.D.C. Monteiro, *Math. Prog. B* **95**, 329 (2003).

# Algorithm overview

## Computational procedures

- DGEMM (bottleneck)
- BLAS1/2 calls
- SPGEMM (or function call)
- L-BFGS, CG or TN minimization

See: D. A. Mazziotti, *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 10957 (2004).

# Parallel considerations

## First round

- Asymptotically 99% DGEMM  $\therefore$  parallel matrix-multiplication
- Eliminate SPGEMM in favor of function call  
→ cut memory use by 90%

## Distributed memory issues

Implementing classic parallel MMM algorithms works against efficient global memory layout and increases communication

## Optimization improvement

Need to improve optimization convergence to decrease number of iterations by working harder (up to DGEMM cost rather than two factors less)

# Final Thoughts . . .

## ... from this talk

- The majority of computational software is written in the classic matrix-vector framework.
- Significant development for multidimensional algorithms is needed so that implementations reflect the natural representation of the data.

## ... about CSGF

- Take advantage of unique opportunities like being a PI at NERSC or giving invited talks at national labs (pad that CV).
- If your practicum mentor is excited about your project, good things happen.